

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,084	08/29/2000	Takeo Suzuki	196744US2	9184
22850	22850 7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LUGO, DAVID B	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/650,084	SUZUKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David B. Lugo	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 May 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-20 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5 and 7-12 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2000	<u>0 and 19 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b)□ objected to by the			
Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same of the sa	ne correction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	- 🗆	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/650,084

Art Unit: 2637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The replacement drawings for Figures 16 and 17 were received on 5/19/04. These replacement drawings are acceptable.

#### Response to Arguments

- 2. The objections to claims 1-20 regarding various informalities have been withdrawn as applicant has addressed all issues raised in the previous Office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, second paragraph, filed 5/19/04, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-12 has been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments, see pages 12-13, filed 5/19/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wijting et al. and the rejection of claim(s) 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Uesugi et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection of claims 1 and 6 are made in view of a newly applied prior art reference.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee U.S. Patent 6,574,204 (cited in previous Office action).

1. .

Application/Control Number: 09/650,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claim 1, Lee teaches an interference cancellation apparatus for canceling interference components included in received signals comprising a rank determination unit (CPU) 700 which ranks the terminals according to the known transmission rate of the respective user terminals (col. 6, lines 13-20), where the known transmission rates for the respective terminals are not detected by measuring signal power (col. 5, lines 50-64).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Uesugi et al. U.S. Patent 6,526,271 (cited in previous Office action).
- 10. Regarding claim 6, Lee teaches an interference cancellation apparatus for canceling interference components included in received signals comprising a rank determination unit (CPU) 700 which ranks the terminals according to the known transmission rate of the respective user terminals (col. 6, lines 13-20). In addition, Lee states that if the number of the users in a group is greater than the number of multipliers and accumulators of the correlation bank, the despreading and decoding operations are performed a number of times (col. 6, lines 20-24).
- 11. Lee does not expressly state that the rank determination unit is configured to determine the rank of each user based on quality information for each user. Uesugi et al. disclose selection of a user with a high required quality as a user providing great interference to other users.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,084

Art Unit: 2637

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of 12.

Uesugi et al. of selecting users requiring a high quality in the invention disclosed by Lee as a

way to select a subset of users in a group of users having the same transmission rate when the

number of users in the group is greater than the number of users that may be processed in a first

iteration of the interference canceller, to enable the users having the greatest interference in the

group to be cancelled from user signals in subsequent iterations.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 13-20 are allowed.

Claims 2-5 and 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 14.

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to **David B. Lugo** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0954.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached at (703) 308-7728.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/650,084

Art Unit: 2637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dl 7/27/04

MAITRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 8/2/04

Page 5